UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,948	01/23/2006	Zaihui Zhang	540057.418USPC	6733
	7590 11/28/200 ECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER		
701 FIFTH AV		STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE		
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA	x 98104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,948	01/23/2006	Zaihui Zhang	540057.418USPC	6733
	7590 11/28/200 ECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER		
701 FIFTH AV		STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE		
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA	x 98104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/521,948	ZHANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Laura L. Stockton	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice and the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 A</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24, 27, 29-31, 34-37, 39-52 and 77-4a) Of the above claim(s) 30, 31, 34-37, 39-52 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24, 27, 29 and 77-82 is/are rejected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	and 83-89 is/are withdrawn from				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Editable of bythe	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/521,948 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-24, 27, 29-31, 34-37, 39-52 and 77-89 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-24, 27, 29 and 77-82) in the reply filed on August 22, 2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that formula (1) was incorrectly designated as formula (I). This is not found persuasive because the lack of unity would still exist as stated in the Restriction requirement. Therefore, all designations of formula (I) in the Restriction requirement should be formula (1).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 30, 31, 34-37, 39-52 and 83-89 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on August 22, 2008.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Examiner has considered the Information Disclosure Statements filed on January 23, 2006 and June 25, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-24, 27, 29 and 77-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a tautomer, a stereoisomer, a mixture of stereoisomers, a racemic mixture or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt of a compound of formula (1), does not reasonably provide enablement for a solvate of a compound of formula (1). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Factors to be considered in making an enablement rejection are summarized as:

- a) the quantity of experimentation necessary,
- b) the amount of direction or guidance presented,
- c) the presence or absence of working examples,
- d) the nature of the invention,
- e) the state of the prior art,
- f) the relative skill of those in the art,

- g) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and
 - h) the breadth of the claims.

<u>In re Colianni</u>, 195 USPQ 150 (CCPA 1977). <u>In re Rainer</u>, et al., 146 USPQ 218 (CCPA 1965). Ex parte Formal, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986).

- a) Determining if a particular compound would form a solvate, or hydrate, would require synthesis and recrystallization of the compound solvate or hydrate using a variety of solvents, temperatures and humidities. The experimentation for solvates or hydrates is potentially open-ended.
- b) The specification merely mentions the Applicant's intention to make solvates, without teaching the preparation thereof.
- c) While the claims recite solvates, no working examples show their formation. As stated in <u>Morton</u>

 <u>International Inc. v. Cardinal Chemical Co.</u>, 28 USPQ2d

 1190, 1194 (Fed.Cir. 1993):

The specification purports to teach, with over fifty examples, the preparation of the claimed compounds ... However ... there is no evidence that such compounds exist ... [T]he examples ... do not produce the postulated compounds ... [T]here is ... no evidence that such compounds even exist.

The specification shows no evidence of the formation and actual existence of solvates. Hence,

Applicant must show formation of solvates or limit the claims accordingly.

- d) The nature of the invention is chemical synthesis of solvates, which involves chemical reactions.
- e) The state of the art recognizes that the formation, composition and therapeutic activity of solvates are unpredictable. The Federal Circuit has recognized a solvate as an example of a polymorph or pseudopolymorph (emphasis added):

"Polymorphs" are distinct crystalline structures containing the same molecules. These structural differences can affect various properties of the crystals, such as melting points and hardness (e.g., graphite and

Page 7

diamonds are both crystalline forms of carbon)
.... [P]seudopolymorphs are often loosely
called polymorphs ... Pseudopolymorphs not only
have their molecules arranged differently but
also have a slightly different molecular
composition. A common type of pseudopolymorph
is a solvate, which is a crystal in which the
molecules defining the crystal structure "trap"
molecules of a solvent. The crystal molecules
and the solvent molecules then bond to form an
altered crystalline structure.

SmithKline Beecham Corp. v. Apotex Corp., 74 USPQ2d 1398, 1409 (Fed.Cir. 2005). The same rationale obtains for hydrates; solvates in which the solvent is water. Souillac, et al., Characterization of Delivery Systems, Differential Scanning Calorimetry, pages 217-218 (in Encyclopedia of Controlled Drug Delivery, 1999, John Wiley & Sons, pages 212-227), recognize that different polymorphs of the same drug can have different therapeutic activity (emphasis added):

Because different polymorphic forms of the same drug exhibit significant differences in their physical characteristics, therapeutic activity from one form to another may be different. Studying the polymorphism of a drug and the relative stability of the different polymorphs is a critical part of pre-formulation development.

Art Unit: 1626

Further, Vippagunta et al. (Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 48 (2001), pages 3-26) state "Predicting the formation of solvates or hydrates of a compound and the number of molecules of water or solvent incorporated in to the crystal lattice of a compound is complex and difficult." See page 18, section 3.4.

- f) The artisan using Applicant's disclosure to prepare the claimed solvates and hydrates would be, e.g., an experienced process chemist with at least a BS chemistry degree.
- g) Chemical reactions are known as unpredictable.

 In re Marzocchi, et al., 169 USPQ 367, 370 (CCPA 1971);

 In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970). See above regarding the unpredictability of solvate and hydrate formation.
- h) The breadth of the claims includes thousands of compounds of the instant formula (1) as well as presently unknown compounds embraced by the terms solvates and hydrates. See MPEP 2164.01(a), discussed

supra, justifying the conclusion of lack of enablement commensurate with the claims. Undue experimentation will be required to practice Applicant's claimed invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-24, 27, 29 and 78-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 2, 15, 23, 24, 27, 29 there is a valence problem when any of R^1 , R^2 and R^3 represents a sulfonyl, which is divalent, when a monovalent substituent is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,948 Page 10

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by CA Registry No. 385424-29-3 {indexed in the Registry file on STN January 22, 2002}

The compound of CA Registry No. 385424-29-3 is embraced by the instant claimed invention. Therefore, the cited prior art anticipates the instant claimed invention.

Claims 1-9, 12-16, 18, 21-23, 77 and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by:

- a) the compound of CA Registry No. 302575-58-2 {indexed in the Registry file on STN November 13, 2000};
- b) Gour et al. {WO 2001/053331} see Compound 266 in Figure 15BD (Sheet 90/201) and page 7 of the specification;
- c) Niimura {JP 52-108427} see the compound on page 139, top of second column;
- d) Gorbulenko et al. {CA 122:290759, 1995} see, for example, the compound of CA Registry No. 136507-02-3;
- e) Nawwar et al. {CA 120:164052, 1994} see the compound of CA Registry No. 153259-09-7;
- f) Moharram et al. {CA 101:151788, 1984} see the compound of CA Registry No. 51864-18-7;

- g) Jayanth et al. {CA 80:108415, 1974} see, for example, the compound of CA Registry No. 40142-85-6; or
- h) Dawood et al. {Journal of Chemical Research, Synopses, (1998), Vol. 4, pages 208-209} see, for example, Compound 3 on page 208.

Each of the above cited prior art disclose at least one compound that is embraced by the instant claimed invention. Therefore, the instant claimed invention is anticipated by each of the above cite prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 am to 2:30 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private

Application/Control Number: 10/521,948 Page 13

Art Unit: 1626

PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

/Laura L. Stockton/
Laura L. Stockton
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626
Work Group 1620
Technology Center 1600

November 27, 2008